Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
The Burke Center—West Austin Street) CC Docket No. 02-60
Trinity Valley Community College)
UTHSCT on behalf of ETHIN—Andrews Center)
Request for Review of a Decision of the Universal)
Service Administrator)

REQUEST FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.719(c), 54.720(a), The Burke Center—West Austin Street, Trinity Valley Community College, and The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler on behalf of the East Texas Interactive Healthcare Network ("ETHIN")—Andrews Center (collectively, "UTHSC-Tyler" or "UTHSCT") respectfully request that the Commission waive certain competitive bidding requirements and reverse certain Funding Commitment Decision Letters ("FCDLs") issued by the Universal Service Administrative Co. ("USAC") on March 13, 2017. The FCDLs denied funding under the Rural Health Care ("RHC") Program for Funding Years 2012-2016 and were accompanied by a Further Explanation of the Administrator's Decision ("Further Explanation"). The FRNs that are the subject of these FCDLs are listed in Appendices A, B, and C of the Further Explanation.

1

The Further Explanation is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

UTHSCT hired a consultant, ABS Telecom, LLC ("ABS Telecom"), to manage an RHC-compliant procurement of high speed data services for the delivery of telemedicine services to clinical and academic centers in East Texas. Consistent with RHC program rules, ABS Telecom posted Forms 465 for the relevant services and provided all inquiring bidders with bid sheets for the services requested. While a number of vendors requested additional information about the projects, only one service provider, Windstream, submitted bids for the sites in question. Because Windstream was the only responsive bidder, UTHSCT selected it as the service provider for all sites. Unbeknownst to UTHSCT, ABS Telecom was apparently acting as a sales agent for Windstream at the same time. If that was indeed the case, ABS Telecom's involvement in the procurement process would have violated the Commission's competitive bidding rules.

The Commission has previously waived its competitive bidding rules when violations of those rules do not change the outcome of the procurement. The Commission should do the same in the instant case because: (1) ABS Telecom's participation did not affect the outcome of the procurement; and (2) UTHSCT was unaware of, and did not benefit from, whatever vendor involvement may have occurred. Because only one service provider—Windstream—submitted bids on the projects, despite the fact that ABS Telecom responded to all bidder inquiries, any conflict of interest on the part of ABS Telecom could not have affected the outcome of the procurement. Because ABS Telecom's alleged violation of the rules was unknown to UTHSCT and in no way outcome determinative, UTHSCT should not be punished for a conflict of interest of which it was unaware and from which it did not profit.

FACTS

Founded in 2001, the Northeast Texas Consortium ("NETnet") obtains broadband network facilities for its members to deliver video-conferencing capabilities for training, educational, and healthcare delivery purposes as well as data capabilities for information access and resource sharing. NETnet supports the East Texas Interactive Healthcare Network, which provides connectivity between medical healthcare centers and healthcare education institutions in East Texas, including the Burke Mental Health Clinic ("Burke Center"), the Andrews Center Behavioral Healthcare System ("Andrews Center"), and the Trinity Valley Community College Health Science Center ("TVCC"). UTHSCT serves as the fiscal agent for and provides facilities and staffing for NETnet administration.

The Burke Center provides complete mental health services to adults and children in East Texas including a 24-hour crisis line, innovative counseling and therapy, and a state-of-the-art mental health emergency center in Lufkin, TX. Similarly, the Andrews Center is a non-profit, comprehensive mental health and intellectual and developmental disability center that provides services in a five-county area of East Texas. Finally, TVCC offers a wide range of clinical programs to train healthcare workers throughout East Texas.

Without access to the high bandwidth telecommunications services supported by the RHC Program, these institutions will likely be unable to transmit patient data or take advantage of telemedicine services, thereby limiting their ability to provide clinical care to their patient populations. As a result, the loss of RHC funding will have a serious adverse impact on the health and welfare of the citizens of East Texas, who are served by these institutions.

The Procurement in Question

In early 2011, UTHSCT (on behalf of NETnet and ETIHN) engaged a consultant, ABS Telecom, to assist UTHSCT with its RHC procurement. ABS Telecom represented that it was an expert in RHC procurements and did not disclose any conflicts of interest with service providers. Because UTHSCT lacked the knowledge and skills necessary to obtain RHC funding, ABS Telecom had sole responsibility to: (1) expertly manage a USAC-compliant procurement that would supply telecommunications services to clinical and academic centers at Andrews Center, Burke Center, and TVCC; and (2) properly prepare and file all USAC forms necessary to obtain RHC funding for these centers.

In carrying out its responsibilities, ABS Telecom prepared and timely filed the requisite Forms 465 generally advertising the need "to be able to stream media, provide internet access, telemedicine and link facilities for educational events such as Grand Rounds, Center for Disease Control satellite feeds and healthcare professional education."²

A number of prospective bidders responded to these Forms 465 by requesting additional information regarding the projects. In particular, the Form 465 for the Burke Center attracted email inquiries from Rural Health Telecom and Network Services and a telephone inquiry from Windstream. ³ In response to these inquiries, ABS Telecom emailed bid sheets to all three prospective bidders. ⁴ Rural Health Telecom and

See, e.g, FCC Form 465 for the Burke Center (Aug. 29, 2013). The Form 465 is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

³ See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16), attached hereto as Exhibit C (page numbers added for convenience), at 2 for Burke Center (HCP #33148).

See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 2 for Burke Center (HCP #33148) and its Exhibits B, C, and D.

Network Services did not submit bids on this project and made no further contact with ABS Telecom. Only Windstream submitted a bid. ⁵

Similarly, the Form 465 for the Andrews Center drew inquiries from two prospective bidders: Network Services by email and Windstream by telephone.⁶ ABS Telecom responded to these inquiries by emailing bid sheets to both Network Services and Windstream.⁷ Only Windstream submitted a bid. ⁸

Finally, TVCC's Form 465 attracted email inquiries from Tel West and US

Telecom Group and a telephone inquiry from Windstream.⁹ As it did for the Burke

Center and Andrews Center inquiries, ABS Telecom responded to these bidder inquiries

by emailing bid sheets to each of the prospective suppliers.¹⁰ US Telecom Group did

not contact ABS Telecom after receiving information about the project and Tel West

stated that it was not going to submit a bid. Again, Windstream was the only entity to

bid on the project.¹¹

⁵ See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 2 for Burke Center (HCP #33148).

See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 4 for Andrews Center (HCP #34447).

 $^{^7}$ See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 4 for Andrews Center (HCP #34447) and its Exhibits K and L.

⁸ See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 4 for Andrews Center (HCP #34447).

See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 3 for TVCC (HCP #26649).

See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 3 for TVCC (HCP #26649) and its Exhibits E, F, and G.

See ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT (12/23/16) at 3 for TVCC (HCP #26649).

As noted above, Windstream was the only service provider that submitted bids in response to the Forms 465 for the Burke Center, Andrews Center, and TVCC projects. Faced with only one bidder, despite advertising the need for service in accordance with the RHC program rules, UTHSCT chose Windstream as the winning bidder.

UTHSCT did not know that anything was amiss in the Burke Center, Andrews

Center, and TVCC RHC procurements until it received the USAC's Further Explanation
on March 13, 2017 in which USAC alleged that ABS Telecom had a financial incentive to
select Windstream as the winning bidder. Specifically, the Further Explanation
concluded that, because ABS Telecom was listed as a Data Vendor on Windstream's

TVCC service schedule and was named as an "Elite Channel Partner" for 2014 on
Windstream's website, ABS Telecom had a financial interest in selecting Windstream as
the winning bidder.

Shortly after receiving the Further Explanation and based on an initial inquiry into the conflicts of interest alleged therein, UTHSCT terminated ABS Telecom as a consultant and agent. In addition, The University of Texas System ("UT System") Office of General Counsel requested a thorough investigation into the procurements that were the subject of the Further Explanation, including any actions taken by ABS Telecom. That investigation was conducted collaboratively by the UT System-wide Compliance Office and the UT System Audit Office, both of which are independent of UTHSCT. The

Further Explanation at 6.

¹³ *Id.* at 7.

¹⁴ *Id.*

investigation is complete. The facts reflected in this Request for Review are either the result of, or corroborated by the results of, the investigation.

<u>ARGUMENT</u>

To the extent that, as alleged in the Further Explanation, ABS Telecom had a financial interest in naming Windstream as the winning bidder at the time of the procurements in question, UTHSCT requests a waiver of the Commission's rules prohibiting service provider involvement in the procurement process¹⁵ due to the following limited circumstances: the service provider involvement did not affect (indeed, could not have affected) the outcome of the procurement and the healthcare provider was unaware of, and did not benefit from, this conflict of interest.

The Standard for Rule Waiver

The Commission has the discretion to waive its rules "for good cause shown," ¹⁶ and may exercise such discretion "where particular facts make strict compliance inconsistent with the public interest." ¹⁷ In considering requests for waiver, the FCC may consider "hardship, equity, or more effective implementation of overall policy" on an individual basis. ¹⁸

See Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism; A National Broadband Plan For Our Future, Sixth Report and Order, 25 FCC Rcd 18762 ¶ 86 (2010): A program participant violates the competitive bidding rules if "a service provider representative is listed as the FCC Form 470 [or Form 465] contact person and that service provider is allowed to participate in the competitive bidding process ... [or] the applicant turns over to a service provider the responsibility for ensuring a fair and open competitive bidding process."

¹⁶ 47 C.F.R. § 1.3.

Northeast Cellular Telephone Co. v. FCC, 897 F.2d 1164, 1166 (D.C. Cir. 1990) (citing WAIT Radio v. FCC, 418 F.2d 1153, 1159 (D.C. Cir. 1969)).

¹⁸ *WAIT Radio*, 418 F.2d at 1159.

Such a waiver is appropriate under the instant circumstances, where any missteps or rule violations by UTHSCT's service provider or consultant could not, and did not, affect the results of the vendor selection process. The Commission has adopted an outcome-based standard for evaluating whether a rule waiver is justified, waiving its competitive bidding rules when a school or hospital has demonstrated that its technical violation of those rules did not change the outcome of the vendor selection process or distort the policy goal of these rules—selection of the most cost-effective vendor.

In Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by

Central Islip Union Free School District, 19 for example, the Commission concluded that
the appellants had demonstrated good cause for waiver of these rules when: "(1) their
competitive bidding processes were not compromised by their violation of the

Commission's competitive bidding requirements; and (2) the outcomes of their vendor
selection processes were otherwise consistent with the policy goals underlying the

Commission's competitive bidding rules."

Similarly, in *Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by La Joya Independent School District La Joya, TX*,²⁰ the Commission waived the rule mandating that the price of eligible services be the primary factor in selecting the winning bidder in E-rate procurements: "The record supports La Joya's argument that

Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Central Islip Union Free School District, Central Islip, NY; Jennings School District, Jennings, MO; Schools and Libraries Universal Service, Support Mechanism, Order, 29 FCC Rcd 2715, ¶ 1 (2014).

Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by La Joya Independent School District La Joya, TX; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, Order, 28 FCC Rcd 7866, ¶ 4 (2013).

the same vendor would have been selected for each funding request if the price of ineligible items had been excluded from the 'price' criterion." The Commission reached the same conclusion in *Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Coolidge Unified School District 21, Coolidge, AZ*,²¹ in which it held that "[a] comparison of the bid evaluation sheets for those items to the bid evaluation sheets for E-rate eligible items confirms that the winning vendor would have been the same if Coolidge had excluded the price of ineligible items from consideration."

UTHSCT's Request Satisfies the Standard for Waiver of the Rules

In accordance with the Commission's waiver standard and prior Commission decisions applying that standard to similar circumstances, UTHSCT's request for waiver should be granted. It is clear from the facts on which USAC relies that the service provider involvement in the bidding process did not change the outcome of the procurement and UTHSCT was unaware of, and did not benefit from, this conflict of interest.

First, there is no evidence that the procurement's process or outcome was affected by any financial benefit UTHSCT's consultant, ABS Telecom, may have been eligible to receive from the winning bidder, Windstream. As described above, ABS Telecom properly posted the Forms 465 advertising the need for service at the sites in question, the postings attracted interest from multiple potential bidders, and ABS Telecom provided any entity that responded to these postings with the information necessary to submit a bid. There is no evidence that ABS Telecom discouraged any

Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Coolidge Unified School District 21, Coolidge, AZ; Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, Order, 28 FCC Rcd 16907, \P 4 (2013).

potential bidder from submitting a bid. Despite the public announcement of the procurement and bidder interest in participating, only one entity—Windstream—actually submitted bids on each project. Accordingly, UTHSCT's selection of Windstream as the winning service provider was inevitable, regardless of any alleged relationship between Windstream and ABS Telecom.

Second, UTHSCT was neither aware of nor benefited from ABS Telecom's conflict of interest nor does USAC cite any evidence to that effect. Indeed, as soon as the Further Explanation alleged service provider involvement in the procurement, UTHSCT immediately terminated its relationship with ABS Telecom and UT System launched a rigorous internal investigation into how the procurement was conducted and the relationship between ABS Telecom and Windstream.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the Commission should grant UTHSCT's request for waiver of its competitive bidding rules and reverse USAC's denial of funding for the FRNs at issue in this appeal.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Stephen J. Rosen
Stephen J. Rosen
Colleen Boothby
Levine, Blaszak, Block & Boothby
2001 L Street, NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20036
202-857-2550

Counsel to The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler

May 12, 2017

10

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 47 C.F.R §§ 1.47 and 54.721(c), (d), I certify that a copy of the foregoing Request for Review was served upon the following individuals, by first class U.S. mail, postage prepaid, this 12th day of May 2017:

Universal Service Administrative Co. Rural Health Care Attn: Letter of Appeal 700 12th Street, NW, Suite 900 Washington, DC 20005

Mr. Matthew A. Brill
Latham & Watkins, LLP
Washington, D.C.
555 11th Street, NW, Suite 1000
Washington, D.C. 20004-1304
Counsel to Windstream Communications, LLC

Mr. Russell D. Lukas LUKAS, LAFURIA, GUTIERREZ & SACHS, LLP 8300 Greensboro Drive, Suite 1200 McLean, VA 22102 Counsel to ABS Telecom, LLC

/s/ Amanda Delgado Legal Assistant

EXHIBIT A

Further Explanation of the Administrator's Decision



FURTHER EXPLANATION OF THE ADMINISTRATOR'S DECISION

Via Electronic and Certified Mail

March 13, 2017

Ms. Darlene Flournoy
The Burke Center – West Austin Street
1401 W. Austin Street
Crockett, TX 75835

Ms. Darlene Flournoy Trinity Valley Community College 100 Cardinal Drive Athens, TX 75751

Ms. Darlene Flournoy UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN – Andrews Center 1174 East Lennon Avenue Emory, TX 75440

Mr. Zachery Mungeer Windstream Communications, LLC 1440 M street, 6th Floor Lincoln, NE 68510

FCC Form 465 Nos.: 43144429, 43155674, 43133868, 43144511, 43123237, 43123240,

43155659, and 43155889

Funding Request Numbers (FRNs): See Appendices A, B, and C

Funding Years (FYs): 2012 - 2016

Dear Darlene Flournoy:

The Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) is providing additional information regarding the reasons for its decision to deny funding for the above-referenced applications and FRNs submitted in the federal Universal Service Rural Health Care Telecommunications Program (RHC Telecom Program). As noted in the accompanying Denial Letter, based on USAC's review of the FY 2015 FRNs listed in Appendix A and the supporting documentation submitted by the following health care providers (HCPs) — The Burke Center –West Austin Street (Burke), Trinity Valley Community College (Trinity), and UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN – Andrews Center (UTHSCT) (collectively, the



HCPs) — as well as Windstream Communications, LLC (Windstream), USAC is unable to approve funding for these FRNs because the HCPs' selection of Windstream as the service provider for each of the FRNs was not the result of a fair and open competitive bidding process in violation of the Federal Communications Commission's (FCC or Commission) rules. In addition, because the selection of Windstream for these FRNs was not the result of a fair and open competitive bidding process, USAC deems the underlying FCC Forms 465 that gave rise to these requests defective and, thereby, denies all other funding requests arising from these forms, including those FY 2012, 2013, 2014, and 2016 FRNs listed in Appendices B and C.3

Please be advised that the Denial Letter is an official action by USAC regarding these funding applications and requests. Please refer to the Denial Letter for instructions on how to appeal the decision to deny funding for these requests. A more detailed explanation of the reason for the denial follows.

I. Background

The RHC Telecom Program provides eligible HCPs with universal service support for the difference between the urban and rural rates for eligible telecommunications services, subject to limitations set forth in the Commission's rules.⁴ FCC rules require HCPs to competitively bid the requested services

¹ See generally Letter from Warren Lai, Member, CFT Filings LLC, to USAC (Jan. 5, 2017) (HCP January 2017 Response); Letter from Tim Loken, Director Regulatory Reporting, Windstream, to USAC (Jan. 6, 2017) (Windstream January 2017 Response).

² See Requests for Review of Decisions of the Universal Service Administrator by Hospital Networks Management, Inc. Manchaca, Texas, WC Docket No. 02-60, Order, 31 FCC Rcd 5731, 5733, para. 4 (2016) (Hospital Networks Management Order) (citing Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service, CC Docket No. 96-45, Report and Order, 12 FCC Rcd 8776, 9076, para. 480 (1997) (subsequent history omitted) (requiring competitive bidding processes to be fair and open such that no bidders receive an unfair advantage). Cf. Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism, CC Docket Nos. 96-45 et al., Third Report and Order and Second Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, 18 FCC Rcd 26912, 26939, para. 66 (2003) (Schools and Libraries Third Report and Order) (stating that a fair and open competitive bidding process is critical to preventing waste, fraud, and abuse of program resources); Request for Review by Mastermind Internet Services, Inc., et al., CC Docket No. 96-45, Order, 16 FCC Rcd 4028 (2000) (Mastermind Order) (finding that the FCC Form 470 contact person influences an applicant's competitive bidding process by controlling the dissemination of information regarding the services requested and, when an applicant delegates that power to an entity that also participates in the bidding process as a prospective service provider, the applicant impairs its ability to hold a fair competitive bidding process); Request for Review by Dickenson County Public Schools et al., CC Docket No. 96-45, 17 FCC Rcd 15747, 15748, para. 3 (2002) (noting that an applicant impairs its ability to hold a fair and open competitive bidding process when the applicant's FCC Form 470 contact person is also a service provider participating in the bidding process as a bidder)). See generally, 47 C.F.R. 54.603(a) (2015). To the extent USAC has provided funding for those FRNs listed in Appendix A, USAC will seek recovery of these funds and will issue a separate letter seeking recovery.

³ To the extent USAC has provided funding for those FRNs listed in Appendices B and C, USAC will seek recovery of these funds and will issue a separate letter seeking recovery.

⁴ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.602(a), 54.604(b) (2015).



and select the most cost-effective method of providing the requested service. Specifically, HCPs must make a bona fide request for eligible services by posting an FCC Form 465 to USAC's website for telecommunications carriers to review. HCPs must review all bids submitted in response to the FCC Form 465 and wait at least 28 days before entering into a service agreement with the selected service provider.

The FCC further requires that the competitive bidding process be fair and open, and that the process not be compromised by improper conduct by the applicant, service provider, or both parties.8 Accordingly, a service provider participating in the competitive bidding process cannot be involved in the preparation of the FCC Form 465, request for proposal (RFP) or vendor selection process.9 Consultants or other parties working on behalf of the HCP who have an ownership interest, sales commission arrangement, or other financial stake with respect to a bidding service provider are also prohibited from performing any of those tasks on behalf of the HCP.10 The FCC has further made clear that those individuals listed as the contact person on the FCC Forms 465 may not be affiliated with a service provider that participates in the bidding process as a bidder.11 Indeed, the FCC has stated that any FCC Form 465 that lists as the contact person an employee or representative of a service provider that also participates in the bidding process as a bidder or is ultimately selected to provide the requested services should be deemed defective and any funding requests arising from that form denied.12

⁵ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.603(a), (b)(4), 54.615(a) (2015).

^{6 47} CFR § 54.603 (2015); see also FCC Form 465, OMB 3060-0804 (Nov. 2012) (FCC Form 465).

^{7 47} CFR § 54.603(b)(3) (2015).

⁸ See supra note 2.

⁹ Hospital Networks Management Order, 31 FCC Rcd at 5733, para. 4 (citing Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism and A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, Sixth Report and Order, CC Docket 02-6, 25 FCC Rcd 18762, 18799-800, para. 86 (2010) (Schools and Libraries Sixth Report and Order) ("an applicant violates the Commission's competitive bidding rules if the applicant turns over to a service provider the responsibility for ensuring a fair and open competitive bidding process")).

¹⁰ Id. at 5733-34, para. 4 (citing Requests for Review of the Decision of the Universal Service Administrator by SEND Technologies, L.L.C., CC Docket No. 02-6, Order, 22 FCC Rcd 4950 (Wireline Comp. Bur. 2007) (SEND Order) (finding that where the applicant's contact person is also a partial owner of the selected service provider, the relationship between the applicant and the service provider creates a conflict of interest and impedes fair and open competition).

¹¹ Id. at 5742, para. 20 (citing Schools and Libraries Universal Service Support Mechanism and A National Broadband Plan for Our Future, Sixth Report and Order, CC Docket 02-6, 25 FCC Rcd 18762, 18799-800, para. 86 (2010) (Schools and Libraries Sixth Report and Order) ("an applicant violates the Commission's competitive bidding rules if the applicant turns over to a service provider the responsibility for ensuring a fair and open competitive bidding process")).

¹² Id. (citing Mastermind Order, 16 FCC Rcd at 4032, para. 9).



Between April 16, 2016 and June 30, 2016, the HCPs submitted FY 2015 FCC Forms 466 for each of the FRNs listed in Appendix A, requesting support for 1 Gigabits per second (Gbps) Ethernet service from Windstream. On each of the FCC Forms 466, the HCPs indicated that they had received no bids in response to their FCC Forms 465.14 The HCPs did not provide any documentation related to their competitive bidding process with their requests.

On December 23, 2016, USAC requested that the HCPs describe the competitive bidding and bid evaluation process that resulted in the selection of Windstream to provide the requested service for each of the FRNs listed in Appendix A.15 In addition, USAC requested that, to the extent not already provided, the HCPs provide copies of all bids received, bid evaluation and vendor selection documentation (e.g., score sheets, bid evaluation matrices), and any RFPs or other documents provided to prospective service providers.16 On the same day, USAC requested that Windstream explain the nature of certain costs associated with the monthly recurring charge (MRC) for each FRN, including "Partner Commissions" costs.17

13 Burke submitted FY 2015 FCC Forms 466 for FRNs 1580117, 1580118, 1580121, 1580122, 1580123, 1580124, 1580125, 1580126, 1580127, 1580128, 1580129, 1580130, 1580131, and 1580132 on May 9, 2016 and a FY 2015 FCC Form 466 for FRN 1584689 on June 28, 2016. See FCC Forms 466 for FY 2015, Burke, FRNs 1580117, 1580118, 1580121, 1580122, 1580123, 1580124, 1580125, 1580126, 1580127, 1580128, 1580129, 1580130, 1580131, and 1580132 (May 9, 2016); FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, Burke, FRN 1584689 (June 28, 2016). Trinity submitted FY 2015 FCC Forms 466 for FRNs 1578411, 1578412, 1578413, 1578414, 1578415, 1578416, 1578417, 1578418, 1578420, 1578421 on April 16, 2016 and a FY 2015 FCC Form 466 for FRN 1580115 on May 18, 2016. See FCC Forms 466 for FY 2015, Trinity, FRNs 1578411, 1578412, 1578413, 1578414, 1578414, 1578415, 1578416, 1578417, 1578418, 1578419, 1578420, 1578420, 1578421 (Apr. 16, 2016); FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, Trinity, FRN 1580115 (May 18, 2016). UTHSCT submitted FCC Forms 466 for FRNs 1575203, 1578408, 1578409, and 1578410 on April 16, 2016 and FRN 1584974 on June 30, 2016. FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, UTHSCT, FRNs 1575203, 1578408, 1578409, and 1578410 (Apr. 16, 2016); FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, UTHSCT, FRN 1584974 (June 30, 2016).

14 See FCC Forms 466 for FY 2015, Burke, FRNs 1580117, 1580118, 1580121, 1580122, 1580123, 1580124,
1580125, 1580126, 1580127, 1580128, 1580129, 1580130, 1580131, and 1580132 (May 9, 2016); FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, Burke, FRN 1584689 (June 28, 2016); FCC Forms 466 for FY 2015, Trinity, FRNs 1578411, 1578412, 1578413, 1578414, 1578415, 1578416, 1578417, 1578418, 1578419, 1578420, 1578421 (Apr. 16, 2016); FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, Trinity, FRN 1580115 (May 18, 2016); FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, UTHSCT, FRNs 1575203, 1578408, 1578409, and 1578410 (Apr. 16, 2016); FCC Form 466 for FY 2015, UTHSCT, FRN 1584974 (June 30, 2016).

15 See Email from Jeremy Matkovich, Program Analyst, USAC, to Darlene Flournoy, ETIHN Coordinator, Burke Center, Trinity, UTHSCT (Dec. 23, 2016) (HCP Information Request).

16 See id.

17 See Email from Jeremy Matkovich, Program Analyst, USAC, to Tim Loken, Director Regulatory Reporting, Windstream (Dec. 23, 2016) (Windstream Information Request). USAC also requested that Windstream provide an explanation of and documentation to support how the urban and rural rates for each FRN were derived. Id. Although Windstream responded to these requests, USAC does not address the merits of those arguments herein. In addition, regarding the "Partner Commissions" costs, USAC notes that Windstream previously submitted an itemized list of all charges included in the MRC for each FRN, which listed, among other items, "Partner Commissions." See Email from Tim Loken, Director Regulatory Reporting, Windstream, to Carolyn McCornac, Director of Program Management, USAC (Nov. 9, 2016). Windstream provided the same itemized list of charges to



The HCPs responded to USAC's information request on January 5, 2017.18 In their response, the HCPs indicated that for each of the FRNs listed in Appendix A, "there was a public open bid window that lasted 28 days," during which Windstream was the only service provider that submitted bids.19 According to the HCPs, although other service providers requested information regarding the FCC Form 465 postings and were provided bid sheets during this period, none of these companies provided a quote, "nor did any other provider indicate an intent to submit a bid and request additional time to prepare such bid,"20 The HCPs further explained that, as the only service provider that submitted bids during the 28-day competitive bidding period, Windstream was the lowest cost provider; and, as a result, the HCPs awarded the contracts to Windstream.21 Moreover, although the HCPs provided a list of selection criteria to other service providers that requested information, the HCPs stated that no score sheets or bid evaluation matrices were used in the selection process as the HCPs only received one bid for each of the FRNs.22 In support of their assertions, the HCPs provided copies of email exchanges with the service providers that had submitted inquiries but no bids, as well as the bid sheets the HCPs provided to these service providers in response to their inquiries.23 The HCPs did not, however, provide documentation demonstrating any exchanges with Windstream or copies of the bids submitted by Windstream during the competitive bidding period as requested.

Windstream responded to USAC's information request on January 6, 2017.24 Regarding the "Partner Commissions" costs included in the itemized list of all charges, Windstream stated that these costs represent "residual commissions (typically 20% of the MRR)" paid to sales agents known as "Channel Partners" "for identifying and bringing a customer to Windstream." 25

II. Discussion

Based on our review of the information and documentation provided, we find that the HCPs' selection

USAC in response to USAC's request for a further breakdown of charges included in the MRC for each FRN listed in Appendix A. *See Windstream January 2017 Response*. USAC notes, however, that the itemized list only reflects a breakdown of charges for 24 of the 32 FRNs included in the Appendix. *Id.* The following FRNs are not reflected in the itemization: 1584689, 1578414, 1578415, 1578416, 1578418, 1578419, 1578420, and 1584974. *Id.*

18 See generally HCP January 2017 Response.

19 Id. at 1.

20 *Id*.

21 Id.

22 See id.

23 See HCP January 2017 Response, Exhibits B-L.

24 See generally Windstream January 2017 Response.

25 Id. at 1.



of Windstream as the service provider for each of the FRNs listed in Appendix A was not the result of a fair and open competitive bidding process in violation of the FCC's rules.26 As noted above, FCC rules require HCPs to competitively bid the requested services and select the most cost-effective method of providing the requested service.27 The FCC also requires that the competitive bidding process be fair and open, and that it not be compromised because of improper conduct by the HCP, service provider, or both parties.28 This means that all potential bidders and service providers must have access to the same information and must be treated in the same manner throughout the procurement process.29 In the instant matter, as explained further below, USAC concludes that the relationship between Windstream and Mr. Gary Speck, the party who both filed the FCC Forms 465 on behalf of the HCPs and whose employer (i.e, ABS Telecom, LLC) was listed as a vendor on at least one of the HCPs' service agreements with Windstream, created a conflict of interest that undermined the competitive bidding process for all FRNs at issue in violation of the FCC's rules.30 What is more, based on USAC's review, it appears Mr. Speck's employer received sales commissions from Windstream for identifying and bringing customers to it, which further tainted the competitive bidding process for all FRNs.31

Specifically, for each of the FRNs listed in Appendix A, the HCPs submitted FCC Forms 465 that listed Mr. Speck as both the HCP contact and certifying party, while ABS Telecom, LLC was listed as Mr. Speck's employer.³² As the contact listed on the FCC Forms 465, and as demonstrated in the email exchanges between the HCPs and service providers who requested bid sheets, it appears Mr. Speck was the only person that interfaced with all prospective bidders in response to their requests for bid sheets during the competitive bidding period.³³ At the same time, USAC's review and investigation revealed that Mr. Speck also had an apparent financial interest in the selection of Windstream as the service provider for the HCPs, as his employer, ABS Telecom, LLC, was listed as

²⁶ See supra note 2.

²⁷ See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.603(a), (b)(4), 54.615(a) (2015).

²⁸ See supra note 2.

²⁹ Hospital Networks Management Order, 31 FCC Rcd at 5733, para. 4 (citing Mastermind Order, 16 FCC Rcd 4033, para. 10).

³⁰ See id. at 5733-34 (citations omitted); 47 C.F.R. § 54.603; see, e.g., Windstream Service Schedule for UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN (Mar. 19, 2012) (identifying ABS Telecom, LLC as a "Data Vendor"). USAC notes that ABS Telecom, LLC was listed as a vendor on Trinity's service schedule notices submitted to USAC. While the service schedule notices for both Burke and UTHSCT similarly include a field for the selected "Data Vendor," these fields were left blank. See, e.g., Windstream Service Schedule for Burke (Nov. 19, 2013).

³¹ See Windstream January 2017 Response at 1.

³² See, e.g., FCC Form 465 for FY 2015, Burke, at 1-2, Lines 16-17, 39-41 (June 1, 2015). Mr. Speck is listed as a "Managing Partner" for ABS Telecom, LLC.

³³ See HCP January 2017 Response, Exhibits B-L.



one of Windstream's "Data Vendors" on the service schedule notices for Trinity.34

Moreover, in its response to USAC's December 23, 2016 information request, Windstream indicated that its MRC for each of the FRNs listed in Appendix A included "residual commissions" paid to "Channel Partners (agents)" as compensation "for identifying and bringing a customer to Windstream." According to Windstream's website, Windstream's network of Channel Partners, which includes "Data Vendors," markets Windstream's products and services. In fact, ABS Telecom, LLC, the entity for which Mr. Speck is managing partner, was named one of Windstream's "Elite Channel Partners" in 2014.37

Based on the record and application of FCC precedent, USAC finds that Mr. Speck's role as the contact person listed on the FCC Forms 465 and affiliation with Windstream undermined fair and open competitive bidding for all FRNs listed in Appendix A. Indeed, it is precisely this type of relationship between an HCP's contact person and a service provider that is prohibited by the FCC's rules given the contact person's ability to influence an HCP's competitive bidding process by controlling the dissemination of information and potentially discouraging prospective bidders from submitting bids or excluding them from the process altogether.38 Accordingly, USAC deems the FCC Forms 465 defective and denies all funding requests arising from these forms as listed in Appendices A, B, and C.

Conclusion

Based on the information and documentation provided, USAC is unable to approve RHC Telecom Program support for the FY 2012 through 2016 FRNs listed in Appendices A, B, and C.39 Accompanying this letter is the Denial Letter denying funding for the FRNs referenced above. If you wish to appeal that decision, please refer to the instructions included in the Denial Letter for submitting an appeal.

Sincerely,

³⁴ See, e.g., Windstream Service Schedule for UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN (Mar. 19, 2012) (identifying ABS Telecom, LLC as a "Data Vendor").

³⁵ Windstream January 2017 Response at 1.

³⁶ See Windstream Website, Channel Program, available at http://www.windstreambusiness.com/company/partner-programs/channel-partner-program (last visited Mar. 7, 2017).

³⁷ See Windstream Website, Windstream Names 2014 Elite Channel Partners, available at http://www.channelpartnersonline.com/news/2015/03/windstream-names-2014-elite-channel-partners.aspx (last visited Mar. 7, 2017).

³⁸ See Hospital Networks Management Order, 31 FCC Rcd at 5740 (citations omitted).

³⁹ To the extent USAC has provided funding for any of these FRNs, USAC will seek recovery of these funds and will issue a separate letter seeking recovery.



Craig Davis

Vice President, Rural Health Care Division



Appendix A – FY 2015 FRNs

HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	Rural Rate	Urban Rate
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580117	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580118	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580121	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580122	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580123	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580124	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580125	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580126	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580127	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580128	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580129	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00



HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	Rural Rate	Urban Rate
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580130	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580131	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1580132	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$21,700.00	\$665.00
33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43155674	1584689	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$22,870.00	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1578411	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$20,000.00	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1578412	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$47,963.97	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1578413	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$33,350.34	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1578414	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$3,526.50	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1578415	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$3,526.50	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1578416	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$3,526.50	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1578417	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$33,350.34	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1578418	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$3,526.50	\$665.00



HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	Rural Rate	Urban Rate
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123237	1578419	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$3,526.50	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123240	1578420	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$3,985.50	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43155659	1578421	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$24,150.00	\$665.00
26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43155659	1580115	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$45,554.59	\$665.00
34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1575203	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$51,000.00	\$665.00
34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1578408	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$51,000.00	\$665.00
34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1578409	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$51,000.00	\$665.00
34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1578410	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$51,000.00	\$665.00
34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1584974	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$50,473.50	\$665.00



Appendix B – FY 2016 FRNs

FUND YEAR	HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	ESTIMATED AMT
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697877	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697940	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697941	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697946	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697947	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697948	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697949	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697953	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697954	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697958	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697959	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00



FUND YEAR	HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	ESTIMATED AMT
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697960	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697961	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1697963	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$252,420.00
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123237	1698106	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1698108	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1698110	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$232,020.00
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1698112	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$567,587.64
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1698118	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$392,224.08
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1698121	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1698125	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1698130	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$392,224.08
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1698134	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00



FUND YEAR	HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	ESTIMATED AMT
2016	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43155659	1698138	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$281,820.00
2016	34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1697880	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$604,020.00
2016	34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1698227	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$604,020.00
2016	34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1698229	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$604,020.00
2016	34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1698230	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$604,020.00
2016	34447	UTHSCT on behalf of ETIHN - Andrews Center	43155889	1698233	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$597,702.00



Appendix C - FY 2012 - 2014 FRNs

FUND YEAR	HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	Commitment Amount
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1456999	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$250,384.44
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457000	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$250,384.44
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457001	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$185,922.26
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457002	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$246,313.12
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457003	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$250,384.44
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457004	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$214,421.32
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457005	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$250,384.44
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457006	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$192,820.90
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457007	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$250,384.44
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457008	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$192,820.90
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457010	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$214,421.32



FUND YEAR	HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	Commitment Amount
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1457011	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$180,493.97
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1462644	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$250,384.44
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1462646	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$155,659.00
2014	33149	The Burke Center - West Austin Street	43144429	1465687	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$72,604.62
2012	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123237	1210028	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$28,615.00
2012	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123237	1210032	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$28,615.00
2012	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123240	1210038	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$33,205.00
2013	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123237	1332019	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123240	1455788	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$39,846.00
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43123237	1455793	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1455796	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1455797	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$232,020.00



FUND YEAR	HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	Commitment Amount
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1455798	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1456124	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$392,226.48
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1456125	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$567,587.64
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1456126	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$392,224.08
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1456997	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$538,675.08
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1456998	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$281,820.00
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1462637	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2014	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1462640	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2015	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1578414	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2015	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43133868	1578415	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2015	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1578416	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2015	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43144511	1578418	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00



FUND YEAR	HCP NUMBER	HCP NAME	465 No.	FRN	SP NAME	Commitment Amount
2015	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43155659	1578419	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$34,338.00
2015	26649	Trinity Valley Community College	43155659	1578420	Windstream Communications, LLC	\$39,846.00

EXHIBIT B

FCC Form 465 for the Burke Center (Aug. 29, 2013)

FCC Form 465

Health Care Providers Universal Service Description of Services Requested & Certification Form

Approval by OMB 3060-0804

Estimated time per response: 1 hour

Read instructions thoroughly before	completing this form.	Failure to con	nply may cause delayed or denied funding.				
Form 465 Application Number (assigned by RI Block 1: HCP Location Information							
		HCP Donote	nter a "PO Boy" or "Rural Route" address				
1 HCP Number 33149	nformation required in this block applies to the physical location of the HCP. Do not enter a "PO Box" or "Rural Route" address. 1 HCP Number 33149 2 Consortium Name						
3 HCP Name The Burke Center -	West Austin Street	4 HCP FCC F	Registration Number (FCC RN) 0020953857				
5 Contact Name Darlene Flournoy							
6 Address Line 1 1401 W. Austin S	Street						
7 Address Line 2		8 County Ho	uston				
9 City Crockett		10 State TX	11 ZIP Code 7 5835				
12 Phone # (903) 877-1210	13 Fax#(903) 877	-1230	14 E-maildarlene.flournoy@netnet.org				
Block 2: HCP Mailing Contact Info	rmation						
15 Is the HCP's mailing address (where cor	•	X	Yes, complete Block 2				
sent) different from its physical location	described in Block 1?		No, go to Block 3.				
16 Contact Name Gary Speck		17 Organizatio	nABS Telecom LLC				
18 Address Line 16505 West Park Blv	d.						
19 Address Line 2 Suite 306, PMB 130							
20 City Plano		21 State TX	22 ZIP Code 75093				
23 Phone # (972) 407-0063	24 Fax # (214) 291-5	901	25 E-mailgary@abstelecom.net				
Block 3: Funding Year Information							
26 Funding Year (Check only one box) X Year 2013 (7/1/2013-6/30/2014)	Year 2014 (7	/1/2014-6/30/201	5) Year 2015 (7/1/2015-6/30/2016)				
Block 4: Eligibility							
27 Only the following types of HCPs are eliq Post-secondary educational institu		ory describes the	applicant. (Check only one.) Rural health clinic				
instruction, teaching hospital or m							
Community health center or health	h center providing health		Consortium of the above				
care to migrants Local health department or agence	٧		Dedicated ER of rural, for-profit hospital				
Community mental health center	•						
Not-for-profit hospital			Part-time eligible entity				
28 If consortium, dedicated emergency dep	artment, or part-time eligibl	e entity was seled	cted in Line 27, please describe the entity.				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
29 Please describe the eligible health care p			·				
may bid to provide the services. The det			n needed, or other relevant considerations.				
			and link facilities for educational events such				
as Grand Rounds, Center for Diseas	se Control satellite fee	ds and healtho	care professional education.				
Block 5: Request for Services							
30 Is the HCP requesting reduced rates for:							
X Both Telecommunications & Interr	net Services	Telecommunication	ons Service ONLYInternet Service ONLY				
			1				

Block 6: Certification	
31 X 1 certify that I am authorized to submit this request on behalf of the above-named entity or entities, that I have examined this request, and that to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief, all statements of fact contained herein are true.	
32 X I certify that the health care provider has followed any applicable State or local procurement rules.	
I certify that the telecommunications services and/or Internet access charges that the HCP receives at reduced rates as a result of the HCPs' participation in this program, pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254 as implemented by the Federal Communications Commission, will be used solely for purposes reasonably related to the provision of health care service or instruction that the HCP is legally authorized to provide under the law of the state in which the services are provided and will not be sold, resold, or transferred in consideration for money or any other thing of value.	
34 X I certify that the health care provider is a non-profit or public entity.	
35 X I certify that the health care provider is located in a rural area. Visit the RHCD website: (http://www.usac.org/rhc/tools/rhcdb/Rural/2005/search.asp) or contact RHCD at 1-800-229-5476 for a listing of rural areas.	
36 X Pursuant to 47 C.F.R. Secs. 54,601 and 54,603, I certify that the HCP or consortium that I am representing satisfies all of the requirements herein and will abide by all of the relevant requirements, including all applicable FCC rules, with respect to funding provided under 47 U.S.C. Sec. 254.	
37 Signature Electronically signed	38 Date 29-Aug-2013
39 Printed name of authorized person Gary Speck	40 Title or position of authorized person Managing Partner
41 Employer of authorized person ABS Telecom, LLC	42 Employer's FCC RN 0019425636

Please remember:

- Form 465 is the **first** step a health care provider must take in order to receive the benefit of reduced rates resulting from participation in this universal service support program.
- After the HCP submits a complete and accurate Form 465, the RHCD will post it on the RHCD web site for 28 days.
- HCPs may not enter into agreements to purchase eligible services from service providers before the 28 days expire.
- After the HCP selects a service provider, the HCP must initiate the next step in the application process, the filing of Form 466 and/or 466A.

Persons willfully making false statements on this form can be punished by fine or forfeiture under the Communications Act, 47 U.S.C. Secs. 502, 503(b), or fine or imprisonment under Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. Sec. 1001.

FCC NOTICE FOR INDIVIDUALS REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT AND THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

Part 3 of the Commission's Rules authorize the FCC to request the information on this form. The purpose of the information is to determine your eligibility for certification as a health care provider. The information will be used by the Universal Service Administrative Company and/or the staff of the Federal Communications Commission, to evaluate this form, to provide information for enforcement and rulemaking proceedings and to maintain a current inventory of applicants, health care providers, billed entities, and service providers. No authorization can be granted unless all information requested is provided. Failure to provide all requested information will delay the processing of the application or result in the application being returned without action. Information requested by this form will be available for public inspection. Your response is required to obtain the requested authorization.

The public reporting for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the required data, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. If you have any comments on this burden estimate, or how we can improve the collection and reduce the burden it causes you, please write to the Federal Communications Commission, AMD-PERM, Paperwork Reduction Act Project (3060-0804), Washington, DC 20554. We will also accept your comments regarding the Paperwork Reduction Act aspects of this collection via the Internet if you send them to pra@fcc.gov. PLEASE DO NOT SEND YOUR RESPONSE TO THIS ADDRESS.

Remember - You are not required to respond to a collection of information sponsored by the Federal government, and the government may not conduct or sponsor this collection, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number or if we fail to provide you with this notice. This collection has been assigned an OMB control number of 3060-0804.

THE FOREGOING NOTICE IS REQUIRED BY THE PRIVACY ACT OF 1974, PUBLIC LAW 93-579, DECEMBER 31, 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(3) AND THE PAPEWORK REDUCTION ACT OF 1995, PUBLIC LAW 104-13, OCTOBER 1, 1995, 44 U.S.C. SECTION 3507.

This form should be submitted to:

Rural Health Care Division 30 Lanidex Plaza West, P.O.Box 685 Parsippany NJ 07054-0685

EXHIBIT C

ABS Telecom Response to USAC on Behalf of UTHSCT

(12/23/16) (page numbers added for convenience)

Response to USAC Inquiry dated 12/23/2016

(1) For each FRN listed in Appendix A, please describe the competitive bidding and bid evaluation process that resulted in the selection of Windstream Communications, LLC (Windstream) to provide the requested service(s).

The competitive bidding and bid evaluation process followed the same guidelines for each of the FRNs referenced in Appendix A. The Form 465 was initially posted for each of the FRNs on the dates listed on the attached spreadsheet entitled Form 465 Filing Chart, attached hereto as Exhibit A. Please note the posting date and ACSD for the initial Form 465 for HCP #26649 (referred to as TVCC) dates back to 2011, prior to the online filing system.

In 2015, the contracts for all the circuits except for one for each of the HCPs referenced in the inquiry had been determined to be Evergreen. The dates of such determinations are also listed on Exhibit A under the column labeled "Evergreen" for ease of reference. If the contract was not Evergreen, it is annotated with "N/A" in that column. In order to address the initial selection of Windstream as the provider, we have included all Form 465s filed for each of the referenced FRNs from the initial filings through Funding Year 2015. The contract date for each of the FRNs is listed under the "Contract Signed" column on Exhibit A.

For each Form 465 listed on Exhibit A, there was a public open bid window that lasted 28 days. During the open bid period, any company requesting information or a bid sheet was contacted by phone or email within 24 hours, and a copy of the bid sheet was provided to the requester within that same time frame.

Windstream was the only provider that ever submitted a bid on any of the circuits referenced by Appendix A through the end of Funding Year 2015. Other requestors of information were provided with the bid sheet. None of these companies ever provided a quote, nor did any other provider indicate an intent to submit a bid and request additional time to prepare such bid.

At the end of the initial 28 day open bid window for each of the FRNs listed on Appendix A, the only bid received was from Windstream. As the only provider willing to contract for service at these locations, they were the lowest cost provider, and they were awarded the contracts. Although the list of selection criteria was provided to each requestor, score sheets and bid evaluation matrices were not required as there was only one bid.

Due to the continued lack of bidders in the region, the HCPs opted to provide the entire list of locations each time they posted a Form 465 in order to determine if lower pricing had become available, regardless of USAC's Evergreen determination for the location. Through the end of Funding Year 2015, there was never an alternate bid submitted by any provider to compare against Windstream.

After the initial open bid period for any circuit, the HCP reviewed the bid received from Windstream, and entered into contracts for services. The initial ACSD and Contract Dates for each FRN are noted on Exhibit A for ease of reference.

In the case of TVCC, the initial Form 465 was posted on May 5, 2011. Bids were received through mid June, when the HCP selected Windstream, the only bidder, and they began contract negotiations. The HCP and Windstream legal teams spent over nine months working out the language in the contracts. Due to the lengthy drafting process, the ACSD is many months before the signing of the contracts, and in some cases, was just prior to the second Form 465 (for Funding Year 2012) being posted. Since none of the contracts were deemed Evergreen, all locations were provided to any requestor of any of the TVCC Form 465s in Funding Year 2012. They were also provided to any requestor in the 2013-2015 Funding Years.

Additionally, due to the remote location of the HCPs referenced by this inquiry, some of the installation periods for service took over a year and rolled to the next RHC funding year. In those cases, a Form 466 could not be filed for the initial filing year annotating the selection of the provider as service had not yet begun. Therefore, a new Form 465 was posted, all sites were again provided to any requesting service provider. You will note in these cases, there is a large gap between the Contract Date and the Install Date, as documented on Exhibit A.

(2) To the extent not already provided to USAC, for each FRN listed in Appendix A, please provide copies of all bids received, bid evaluation and vendor selection documentation (e.g. score sheets, bid evaluation matrices) and any requests for proposals or other documents provided to prospective service providers concerning the requested service(s).

HCP #33148 (The Burke Center)

a. FRN #s 1580117, 1580118, 1580121, 1580123, 1580124, 1580125, 1580126, 1580127, 1580128, 1580129, 1580131 and 1580132.

Bids for service for the above referenced FRNs were initially solicited via Form 465 posted on 8/29/2013. During the 28 day open bid window, there were three requests for bid sheets - two via email from Rural Health Telecom and Network Services, the third via phone from Windstream. Proposals were provided to all three the same day the request was received. Copies of the emailed responses and the bid sheet are attached hereto as Exhibits B, C, and D, respectively.

Bids in response to the bid sheets provided were only received from Windstream. Rural Health Telecom and Network Services had no additional contact after the initial request.

The locations were again provided to any requestors in response to the 2/28/14 Form 465, posted to add two additional sites. Only Windstream requested the bid sheet and, ultimately, submitted a bid.

b. FRN #s 1580122 and 1580130.

Bids for service for the two above referenced FRNs were initially requested via the 2/28/14 Form 465. Windstream requested the bid sheet telephonically, and was the only provider who requested the bid sheet. Windstream's bid (the only bid) was selected after the 3/28/14 ACSD.

c. FRN #1584689.

Bids for service for the above referenced FRN was initially requested via the Form 465 posted on 3/2/15. Windstream requested the bid sheet telephonically, and was ultimately the only provider who requested the bid sheet. Windstream's bid (the only bid) was selected after the 3/30/14 ACSD, and the contract was signed on 5/1/15.

HCP #26649 (TVCC)

a. FRN #s 1578411, 1578412, 1578413, 1578414, 1578415, 1578416, 1578417, 1578418, 1578419, 1578420, 1578421 and 1580115.

Bids for service for the above referenced FRNs were initially solicited via Form 465, filed via mail prior to the online filing process, via packets that were posted for bidding on 5/5/2011. During the 28 day open bid window, there were three requests for bid sheets - via email from US Telecom Group and Tel West, and via phone from Windstream. Proposals were provided to all three the same day the request was received. Copies of the emailed responses and the bid sheet are attached hereto as Exhibits E, F, and G, respectively.

Bids in response to the bid sheets provided were only received from Windstream. US Telecom Group had no additional contact after the initial request, and Tel West ultimately responded that they were not going to bid on the project. Windstream provided a bid for all of the above referenced FRNs. As Windstream was the only bidder, they were selected and contract negotiations began in late June 2011. As mentioned earlier, contract negotiations continued for nine months, thus explaining the delay between the selection of Windstream and the execution of contracts.

Form 465s were posted in April 2012 for the 2012 Funding Year, on May 16, 2013 for the 2013 Funding Year, May 29, 2014 for the 2014 Funding Year and June 1, 2015 for the 2014 Funding Year. Each year, several of the above referenced FRNs were deemed to be Evergreen contracts. However, in any years when a provider requested a copy of the bid sheet, a list of all the locations for the above referenced FRNs were provided regardless of Evergreen determination.

In response to the Form 465 for the 2012 Funding Year, Earthlink requested a bid sheet. The consultant had a telephone conversation with the Earthlink representative regarding the sites, the requested information was provided during the call. They did not submit a bid. The proposal request is attached hereto as Exhibit H.

In response to the Form 465 filed on May 16 for the 2013 Funding Year, only Network Services requested the bid sheet, a copy of which was emailed to them within 24 hours. They did not submit a bid for services. The proposal request and bid sheet are attached hereto as Exhibits I and J, respectively.

There were no requests for bid sheets in response to either the 2014 or 2015 funding year Form 465s.

HCP #34447 (Andrews Center)

a. FRN #s 1575203, 1578408, 1578409 and 1578410.

Bids for service for the above referenced FRNs were initially solicited via Form 465 posted on 12/13/2013. During the 28 day open bid window, there were two requests for bid sheets - via email from Network Services, the via phone from Windstream. Bid sheets with selection criteria were provided to all each requesting provider the same day the request was received. Copies of the emailed response and the bid sheet are attached hereto as Exhibits K and L, respectively.

Bids in response to the bid sheets provided were only received from Windstream. Network Services did not submit a bid.

b. FRN # 1584974 (Diverse Route).

Bids for service for the above referenced FRN was initially requested via the Form 465 posted on 6/2/2015. Windstream requested the bid sheet telephonically, and was ultimately the only provider who requested the bid sheet. Windstream's bid (the only bid) was selected after the 6/30/2015 ACSD, and the contract was signed on 8/19/2015.

Exhibit A

1584974	1579/10	1578409	1578408	1575203	FRN	ANDREWS	100011	1578421		1578420	1579/10	1578417	1578416	1578415	1578414	1578413	1578412	1578411	FRN	TVCC		1584689	1580132	1580130	1580129	1580128	1580127	1580125	1580124	1580123	1580122	1580121	1580118	1580117	FRN	BURKE CENTER #33149	
Tyler Diverse Route (2323 Front St)	Tulor Main (2323 Front St)	Mineola Clinic	Athens Clinic	Canton Clinic	SITE	* Street address renamed by the city after site was contracted #34447	TAR AT THE RESERVE TO A TOTAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH	Carthage		Nacogdoches	Tyler #1	University Bivd*	Tyler #3	Tyler #2	Tyler #4	2970 N State Hwy	2100 Loop 256	Lufkin	SITE	#26649		2211 John Redditt	North Street	Angelina Clinic (Frank St)	Stallings	South University	Kirbyville (Margaret)	Homer Airo	Medford Dr	Old Mill	Jasper (Marvin Hancock)	Mayo Place	2105 John Redditt	Can Anglicting	SITE	TER #33149	The state of the s
N/A	12/13/2013	12/13/2013	12/13/2013	12/13/2013	Initial 465 Filed FY 2013	y after site was contra	2000	5/5/2011 Packet	7 / 7004	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	5/5/2011 Packet	Initial 465 Filed (Paper Filing) FY 2011			N/A	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	2/28/2014	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	8/29/2013	Initial 465 Filed FY 2013		4
	1			43139560	Form 465 # FY 2013	cted		Packet		Packet	Packet	Packet	Packet	Packet	Packet	Packet	Packet	Packet	Form 465 # (Paper Filing) FY 2011			N/A	43137856	43137856	43137856	43137856	43137856	43137856	43137856	43137856	43140994	43137856	43137856	43137856	Form 465 # FY 2013		
	Ī		1/15/2014	1/15/2014	Initial ACSD			6/3/2011	6/2/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	6/3/2011	Initial ACSD			3/30/2015	9/27/2013	9/27/2013	9/27/2013	9/27/2013	9/27/2013	9/27/2013	9/2//2013	9/27/2013	3/28/2014	9/27/2013	9/27/2013	9/27/2013	Initial ACSD		EXIDIO
			3/20/2014	3/20/2014	Contract Signed			10/5/2012			T	3/19/2012		1	6/19/2012	3/19/2012	6/19/2012	7/25/2012	Contract Signed					12/20/2013				11/25/2013					11/25/2013	9/27/2013 11/25/2013	Contract Signed) it
4/28/2016	3/19/2015	3/19/2015	3/19/2015	3/19/2015	Install Date			5/10/2013	1 /7/2014	9/1/2012	2/27/2013	3/11/2013	11/29/2012	2/2//2013	1/25/2013	11/28/2012	11/15/2012	4/24/2013	Install Date			10/21/2015	10/14/2014	7/4/2014	8/26/2014	9/26/2014	9/26/2014	10/6/2014	1/0/2014	7/4/2014	3/18/2015	7/4/2014	7/4/2014	8/26/2014	Install Date		
Z A	6/30/2015	6/30/2015	6/30/2015	6/30/2015	Evergreen			4/2//2016	4/27/2016	6/5/2013	3/2/2013	5/6/2014	4/12/2016	5/6/2014	4/22/2014	5/15/2014	5/13/2014	2/24/2014	Evergreen			N/A	3/11/2015	6/10/2015	3/11/2015	3/11/2015	3/11/2015	3/11/2015	3/19/2015	3/18/2015	8/19/2015	3/11/2015	3/18/2015	3/18/2015	Evergreen		
N/A	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	2nd 465 Filed FY 2014			4/17/2013	4/17/12 &	4/20/2012	4/20/2012	4/17/2012	4/20/2012	4/20/2012	4/17/2012	4/20/2012	4/17/2012	4/17/2012	2nd 465 Filed FY 2012		7 m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m m	3/2/2015	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	2/26/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	2nd 465 Filed FY 2014		
			43144507	43144507	Form 465 # FY 2014		T !	43122995	4			43122995	1	T	1			43122995	Form 465 # FY 2012					43144429	43144429	1	43144429	43144429			Ĭ.	43144429	43144429	43144429	Form 465 # FY 2014		
6/2/2015	6/2/2015	6/2/2015	6/2/2015	6/2/2015	3rd 465 Filed FY 2015			5/16/2013	E /1 E /2013	Automatic	Automatic	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	5/16/2013	3rd 465 Filed FY 2013	The same of the sa		6/1/2015	Automatic	6/1/2015	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	6/1/2015	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	3rd 465 Filed FY 2015		
					Form 465 # FY 2015			43133868		43123240		43133868						43133868	Form 465 # FY 2013		9000	43155674	43144429	43155674	43144429	43144429	43144429	43144429	GEVVVIEV GZ###TC#	43144429	43155674	43144429	43144429	43144429	Form 465 # FY 2015		
	43155889 Evergreen from 2014 filing after 2015 open bid	43155889 Evergreen from 2014 filing after 2015 open bid	43155889 Evergreen from 2014 filing after 2015 open bid	43155889 Evergreen from 2014 filing after 2015 open bid	Notes			5/29/2014	5/20/2017	Automatic	Automatic	5/29/2014	5/29/2014	E (20/2014	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	4th 465 Filed FY 2014					43155674 Evergreen from 2014 filing after 2015 open bid	F. San Francisco						43155674 Evergreen from 2014 filing after 2015 open bid				Notes		
	2014 filing after	2014 filing after	2014 filing after	2014 filing after				43144511	43144511	43123240	43123237	43144511	43144511	43133666	43133868	43133868	43133868	43133868	Form 465 # FY 2014					2014 filing after	2014 filling affect						2014 filing after						
	· 2015 open bid	 2015 open bid 	 2015 open bid 	· 2015 open bid				6/1/2015	6/1/2015			Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	Automatic	5th 465 Filed FY 2015					2015 open bid	2015 open hid						2015 open bid						
								43155659	43155550	43123240	43123237	43144511	43144511	11377157	43133868	43133868	43133868	43133868	Form 465 # FY 2015								100										

and rolled over a Funding and rolled over a	Notes Notes Contract negotiations took over 9 months Contract negotiations t
d rolled over a Funding d rolled rolled over a Funding d rolled rolled over a Funding d rolled roll	took over 9 months an took over 9 months are took o
	d rolled over a Funding Year

BURKE CENTER (HCP #33148) EXHIBITS B - D



Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Re: Recent USAC Form 465 - HCP # 33149 / The Burke Center - West Austin Street -**Service Information Request From Rural Health Telecom - Texas**

1 message

Gary Speck < gary@abstelecom.net>

To: Reid Freeman < RFreeman@ruralhealthtelecom.com>

Thu, Sep 5, 2013 at 11:43 AM

Thank you for your email. attached to this email response.

- 1. location list
- 2. service requested
- 3. selection criteria
- 4. 60 month term is prefered

On Thu, Sep 5, 2013 at 10:27 AM, Reid Freeman <RFreeman@ruralhealthtelecom.com> wrote:

Gary: I am contacting you in order to get information regarding The Burke Center's Internet/data network that you have now and your recent filing of the Form 465 from the Federal USAC funding website... We would like to have the opportunity to propose our network services for your network. As a brief introduction, we are a service company that provides Internet service, data networking service, and telecom services only to health care providers that have some or all of their locations located in rural areas. We help these HCPs obtain the lowest funded price for the qualifying network services in each particular state through the Rural Health Care program. My company, Rural Health Telecom, is the leading provider of network services to health care providers located in rural locations in the continental US.

I would like to obtain some more detailed information in order to provide a proposal for the recently filed Form 465 for the following:

HCP #s:

33149

HCP Name(s): The Burke Center

Posting Date:

August 30, 2013

ACSD:

September 27, 2013

If possible, I would like to have any specific information that you can provide on what the desired services for this funding year, BY LOCATION (if possible) including:

- 1. Circuit/Service information: type of circuit(s), location(s)/address(es) of circuit(s), bandwidth of each circuit, any special features like MPLS
- 2. Agreement information: term of agreement desired, installation date
- Decision/Evaluation criteria, if known:
- 4. Network Diagrams, if any: would like a current diagram (without confidential information) and future diagram, if it exists
- 5. Customer specific or other helpful information: need router(s), any special hardware requirements

If it's easier to discuss via telephone, just let me know as I will get my Engineer to discuss with us...

Thanks...

Reid Freeman

Regional Account Manager

Rural Health Telecom

210.730.4250 (cell)

rfreeman@ruralhealthtelecom.com

www.ruralhealthtelecom.com



Gary Speck
Business Development
O) 972-407-0063
G) 972 383-9227
F) 214-291-5901
Gary@abstelecom.net
www.abstelecom.net

RHC_sitelist_CROCKETT_2013.pdf 179K

Exhibit C



Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Re: USAC 465 - 33149 The Burke Center - West Austin Street TX 08/30/2013 09/27/2013

1 message

Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Tue, Sep 3, 2013 at 7:32 PM

To: Brooke Wickham < Brooke Wickham @networkservices.org>

Thank you for your email. attached to this email response.

- 1. location list
- 2. service requested
- 3. selection criteria
- 4. 60 month term is prefered

On Tue, Sep 3, 2013 at 3:58 PM, Brooke Wickham <BrookeWickham@networkservices.org> wrote:

Hello Gary,

We have reviewed your 465 posting and are interested in submitting a bid. Can you please clarify a few connectivity specifics in regards to this 465 posting?

Will you be using WAN connections or single site Internet Connectivity, for the services related to this posting?

If you are implementing a Wide Area Network, could you please provide the telephone number and address for each end point, as well as the type of connectivity you require between the locations?

For single site Internet Connectivity, can you please clarify if each location that requires the connectivity (address and phone#) is the same as the address listed on the application, as well as the bandwidth and connection types?

Thank you, Brooke

Brooke Wickham Account Executive



800-726-2575 - Scott - Major Account Executive in Bid Department Spin # 143029752

Network Services is an ETP (Eligible Telecommunications Provider) in good standing with USAC. We are a direct contributor to the Universal Service Fund. Our goals are met by providing high quality telecommunications and internet services, through each of the major carriers and tier one internet providers, at deeply discounted rates.

The integrity of our company lies within the dedication of quality services and satisfaction that we continually strive to provide our clients.

Gary Speck
Business Development
O) 972-407-0063
G) 972 383-9227
F) 214-291-5901
Gary@abstelecom.net

Gary@abstelecom.net www.abstelecom.net

RHC_sitelist_CROCKETT_2013.pdf 179K

Exhibit D

A location	NPA/NXX	NPA/NXX Z Location	NPA/NXX
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	2003 S. Medford Dr. Lufkin TX 75901	936-639
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	1915 Old Mill Road Lufkin Tx 75904	936-639
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	11837 US Hwy 271, Tyler TX 75708	903-877
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	2704 Homer Alto Rd Lufkin Tx 75904	936-634
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	2105 N. John Redditt Lufkin Tx 75904	936-639
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	15544 FM 777 Jasper TX 75951	409-224
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	1100 Ogletree Drive Livingston TX 77351	936-327
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	583 El Camino Crossing San Augustine TX 75972	936-275
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	105 Mayo Place Lufkin, TX 75904	936-674
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	910 S. Margaret Kirbyville, TX 75956	409-423
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	5001 Lotus Lane, Lufkin, TX 75904	936-634
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	1718 South University Drive Nacogdoches, Texas 75961	936-569
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	4632 NE Stallings Drive, Nacogdoches, Texas 75965	936-558
1401 W. Austin Crockett TX 75835	936-243	1936 North St. Nacogdoches, TX 75962	936-468

☐ Cost

dedicated, fiber-optic, point-to-point gigabit Ethernet service

Selection Criteria

Service requested

Reliability

② Quality of transmission

Responsiveness

Peatures

Ability to bid on entire network

Personnel and management

Agreement to apply timely discounts

Technical supportPrevious experience with vendor

☑ Single point of contact

TRINITY VALLEY COMMUNITY COLLEGE (HCP #26649) EXHIBITS E - J

Exhibit E



Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Re: Response to HCP #26649

On Fri, May 6, 2011 at 11:54 AM, Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net> wrote:
Attached is the site list.
in your quote include:
Full contract
urban rate
all locations must be bid
spin number

On Fri, May 6, 2011 at 10:51 AM, Joey Sutton <i sutton@ustelecomgroup.com> wrote:

Gary,

I'm one of the owners of US Telecom Group (USTG). USTG is one of seven US own outsourced authorized representatives of multiple carriers across the US. USTG has 822 schools across the US as we have been working with the school since 2000. We have 39 hospitals with 180 locations across the US. USTG has only been outsourced for two year in the RHC field. Before 2009 the carriers outsourced the representation over seas.

When you post your 465: If a carrier sees this and they are interested they send us an email to reach out to you. In this case it was an ATT wholesaler out of NC. From there we have 48 hours to reach out to you and see if you are interested in receiving bids and if moving to a new carrier isn't out of the question.

If you are interest we then are responsible to gather all your information and load it into our system. Our system then goes out to see which of the 63 carriers matches up or are running promotions for your locations.

Then they submit a quote document to us and we submit it to you.

The benefits you receive by this process are that you will get the best price from the carrier you can. It

is less expensive for the carrier to have USTG do the work than to hire 7 people and pay them a salary, insurance, benefits and commission. All quotes USTG receives are filed and logged.

Please feel free to call me in the office if you would like to speak to me about this. There is zero cost for you the carriers pay our fees.

Thank you

Joey Sutton | Co-Founder | US Telecom Group

Desk 413-304-4212 | Fax 866-358-5552

From: Jenny [mailto:JBurgos@ustelecomgroup.com]

Sent: Friday, May 06, 2011 2:18 PM

To: 'Gary Speck' Cc: 'Joey Sutton'

Subject: RE: Response to HCP #26649

Hi Gary,

Your form 465 was posted on the USAC website yesterday. One of my AT&T wholesalers contacted me as they are interested in bidding for your services. Our local address is located at 165 Front St. Chicopee, MA 01013.

Thank you,

Senny Burgos

Rural Health Care Specialist

US Telecom Group

(413) 304-4202-Voice

(866)358-5552-Fax
On Fri, May 6, 2011 at 10:34 AM, Jenny <jburgos@ustelecomgroup.com> wrote:</jburgos@ustelecomgroup.com>
Hi,
US Telecom Group (USTG) received an update that you have filed your forms 465 for the 2010-2011 funding year.
US Telecom Group are agents for multiple carriers for all form of telecommunications and internet access. I have attached a file that lists out carriers US Telecom Group represents.
Through the carriers US Telecom Group would like to bid on your services requested on your form 465 however we need a little more information to properly bid on your request.
Please contact me at your earliest convenience to discuss your form 465 requirements.
Thank you,
Jenny Burgos

Rural Health Care Specialist

US Telecom Group

(413) 304-4202-Voice

(866)358-5552-Fax

Gary Speck Business Development O) 972-407-0063 F) 214-291-5901

Gary@abstelecom.net www.abstelecom.net

Gary Speck
Business Development
O) 972-407-0063
F) 214-291-5901
Gary@abstelecom.net
www.abstelecom.net

ETIHN Site Information 05052011.pdf 152K

CMail

Exhibit F

Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Re: FW: Tel West response to RFP for USAC form 465 number 47032

1 message

Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

To: Darlene Flournoy <arlene.flournoy@netnet.org>

Fri, May 20, 2011 at 12:55 PM

Thank you Darlene. We have spoken and he has the site list for design. I hope we have some pricing and contracts for review soon.

On Fri, May 20, 2011 at 12:07 PM, Darlene Flournoy darlene.flournoy@netnet.org wrote:

Gary – Benjamin Baird just called me. He wanted your number but I asked him for his instead. He was requesting information about the sites. His number is 972,999,6828. Thanks!

From: Benjamin Baird [mailto:BBaird@telwestservices.com]

Sent: Friday, May 20, 2011 8:51 AM

To: Darlene Flournoy

Subject: Tel West response to RFP for USAC form 465 number 47032

Darlene Flournoy-

Good morning my name is Benjamin Baird and I am a Special Projects Account Executive with Tel West Network Services. So far in 2011 Tel West has been awarded 37 projects with USAC funding. Tel West would like an opportunity to respond to the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) Rural Health Care form 465 number 47032 you have submitted.

Trinity Valley Community College	47032	100 Cardinal Drive
----------------------------------	-------	--------------------

Tel West has earned a strong reputation built on customer satisfaction. Government, Business's & Municipalities rely on their Tel West team to support their infrastructure with scalable, reliable & cost-effective communication solutions to help them succeed in today's competitive marketplace. Tel West's keen focus on flawless execution and superior customer service is what sets us apart from any partner in the marketplace.

Today, companies like the Texas Rangers & Dallas Stars, Arlington ISD, FAA, Texans Can Academy, Better Business Bureau, & The City of Corpus Christi have all chosen Tel West.

Our Synchronous Optical Network (SONET), including more than 12,000 fiber miles of high capacity fiber optics assures our clients a robust, reliable and scalable network for all your 18

communications needs.

Infrastructure Highlights:

- Synchronous optical network (SONET)
- •Fiber Optic Infrastructure is equipped with 14,000 fiber miles (11,811 owned)
- MPLS backbone
- •Ethernet enabled platform
- •Point-to-Point circuits
- •Multi-level hierarchy of connectivity architecture
- High-speed, redundant routers and switches
- •TDM & Next Generation Soft Switches
- •Products include DS-1, DS-3,T-1, T-3, OC-1, PRI, SIP, and Hosted VOIP

Quick Facts	
Headquarters	Austin, TX
Year Incorporated	1998
Markets	Texas
Access Lines	300k +
Customers	6,000 +
Fiber Miles	12,000 +

#8 Top Growth Company (w/revenues over \$25Mil.) in Central TX by The Austin Business Journal 2010.





Communications is the breath of business.

Let Tel West help you breathe easier.

Tel West Network Services

Benjamin Baird | Account Executive direct 972-354-4410 | fax 972-354-4488

email bbaird@telwestservices.com | web www.telwestservices.com

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This message is intended only for the individual or entity to which it is addressed and may contain information that is confidential or exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you have received this communication in error. In such case, please notify us immediately by reply e-mail and immediately delete this message and its attachments. Any use, dissemination, redistribution or reproduction of this communication is strictly prohibited. Unless the message explicitly states otherwise, no e-mail correspondence claims to be a contractual offer or acceptance. Tel West has instructed its employees not to send libelous or inappropriate statements and disclaims responsibility for such. Subject to applicable law, Tel West may monitor, review and retain e-communications traveling through its networks/systems. By messaging with Tel West you consent to the foregoing.

Gary Speck Business Development O) 972-407-0063 F) 214-291-5901

Exhibit G

Site Name	Address	Service
		3 gig
UT Health at Tyler	11937 US Hwy 271	
	Tyler, TX 75708	
	903 877 1200 www.netnet.org	
	www.nediectorg	
Kilgore Callege	1100 Broadway	1 nin
	4°gore, TN, 75662 903,984,583;	1 gig
•	a we.kiigore edu	
SFA Nacogdoches	1936 North Street	1 gig
	Nacogdoches, TX 75962	
	936 468 3401	
	www.sfasu.edu	
Angelina College	3500 South First Street	1 gig
İ	Lufkin, TX 75904	
	936 639 1301 www.angelina.edu	
Panoia College	1109 W. Panola	1gig
	Carthage, TX 75633 903 693 2000	-6'6
	www.panola.edu	
	}	
East Texas Interactive Healthcare Network- Marshall	2400 East End Blvd.	1 gig
	Marshell, TX 75672	-00
	903 935 1010	
	www.marshall.tstc.edu	
	 	
Tyler Jr. College	1327 South Baxter Avenue	ļ
	Tyler, TX 75701	1 gig
	903 510 2200	
	www.tjc.edu	
	1	
	ľ	
UT Tyler	3900 University Blvd	1 gig
	Tyler, TX 75799	
	903 566 7000	
	www.ultyler.edu	
Northeast Texas Community College	2886 FM 1735 Chapel Hill Road Mt. Pleasant, TX 75455	
	903 434 8100	1gig
	www.ntcc.edu	
Paris Jr. College	2400 Clarksville Street	
	Paris, TX 75460	1 gig
	903 785 7661 www.parisjc.edu	
·		
TAMU Commerce	2600 W. Neal Street Commerce TX 75428	1 gig
	903 886 5000	- 240
	www.tamu-commerce.edu	
	1	
X		1 min
Texarkana College TAMU Texarkana - South Campus	Z500 N. Robison Road Texarkana, TX 75599	1 gig
	903 838 4541	
	www.texarkanacollege.edu www.tamut.edu	
		L
TAMI / Texarkana	7101 University Ave	1 gig
TAMU Texarkana	Texarkana, TX	^ 6'5
	903 223 3000	
Trinity Valley Community College	www.tamu.edu 100 Cardinal Drive	Hub location
This is the HUB location	Athens, TX 75751	
This must be the Alocation on all quotes		1 gig of Internet
	www.tvcc.edu	
TVCC - Palestine	2970 North State Hwy. 19	
	Palestine, TX 75802 903 729 0256	1 gig
	http://www.tvcc.edu/campus	
UT Tyler - Palestine	Loop 256 & Hwy 287/ 19 North	
O 1 THE PROMISE	Palestine, TX 75803	1gig
ļ	903 727 2300	
	www.uttyler.edu/palest/ne	
	1200 East Hwy, 20 Terrell, TX 75160	
Trinity Valley Community College Terrell	(972) 563-9573. 800 Hwy. 243 West	1gig
Trinley Valley Community College - Health Science Centar	Kaufman, Texas 75142 Ph. 972-932-4309	1gig

Exhibit H



Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Re: Rural Health Care- Form 465 Application

Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

To: "Danzey, Oscar" < ODanzey@corp.earthlink.com>

On Wed, May 9, 2012 at 10:42 AM, Danzey, Oscar < ODanzey@corp.earthlink.com> wrote:

Gary,

I am sending this correspondence as I would like to speak with you about the service requirements listed within your recently filed Form 465 for the Health Care Providers Universal Service funding under HCP number: 26649 for Trinity Valley Community College posted on 04/25/2012. I would like to obtain a better understanding for your requirements so I can then prepare a competitive proposal for your service needs. Please let me know if the opportunity permits for a brief call to converse further or if any RFP documents are available.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

OJD

Oscar J Danzey

Strategic Account Executive

EarthLink Business

E: odanzey@corp.earthlink.com

O: 201-623-1909 | M: 201-780-7878 | F: 866-274-4158

160 Chubb Ave | Lyndhurst, NJ | 07071

www.earthlinkbusiness.com

Gary Speck Business Development O) 972-407-0063 F) 214-291-5901 Gary@abstelecom.net www.abstelecom.net

Exhibit I



Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Re: USAC 465 - 26649 Trinity Valley Community College TX 05/16/2013 06/13/2013

1 message

Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

To: Brooke Wickham < Brooke Wickham @networkservices.org>

Fri, May 24, 2013 at 6:25 AM

Brooke.

Attached to this email is the site list

On Thu, May 23, 2013 at 12:14 PM, Brooke Wickham < Brooke Wickham @networkservices.org > wrote:

Dear Gary,

We have reviewed your 465 posting and are interested in submitting a bid. Can you please clarify a few connectivity specifics in regards to this 465 posting?

Will you be using WAN connections or single site Internet Connectivity, for the services related to this posting?WAN connections

If you are implementing a Wide Area Network, could you please provide the telephone number and address for each end point, as well as the type of connectivity you require between the locations? yes

For single site Internet Connectivity, can you please clarify if each location that requires the connectivity (address and phone#) is the same as the address listed on the application, as well as the bandwidth and connection types? Not applicable

Thank you, Brooke

Brooke Wickham Account Executive



800-726-2575 - Scott - Major Account Executive in Bid Department Spin # 143029752

Network Services is an ETP (Eligible Telecommunications Provider) in good standing with USAC. We are a direct contributor to the Universal Service Fund. Our goals are met by providing high quality telecommunications and internet services, through each of the major carriers and tier one internet providers, at deeply discounted rates.

The integrity of our company lies within the dedication of quality services and satisfaction that we continually strive to provide our clients.

Gary Speck Business Development O) 972-407-0063 G) 972 383-9227

F) 214-291-5901

Gary@abstelecom.net

www.abstelecom.net

ETIHN_SiteLIST_2013.pdf 139K

Exhibit J

A location	NPA/NXX	Z Location Z Location	NPA/NXX
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751 903/675	903/675	1109 W PANOLA, CARTHAGE TX 75633	903/693
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	2100 SOUTH LOOP 256, PALESTINE, TX 75801	903/727
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	2970 N STATE HWY 19, PALESTINE, TX 75802	903/729
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	100 UNIVERSITY BLVD, PALESTINE,TX 75801	903/727
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	11937 US HWY 271, TYLER, TX 75708	903/877
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	1936 NORTH STREET, NACOGDOCHES TX 75965	936/468
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	3500 SOUTH FIRST ST, LUFKIN TX 75904	936/633
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	800 TEXAS 243, KAUFMAN TX 75142	972/932
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751	903/675	3600 Loop 500 E, Center, TX 75935	936/598
100 CARDINAL DR ATHENS TX 75751 903/675	903/675	805 North Dickinson, Rusk Tx 75785	430/971

Service requested

dedicated, fiber-optic, point-to-point gigabit ethernet service 60 month term

Selection Criteria

Cost

Reliability

Responsiveness Quality of transmission

Features

Must bid on all listed circuits

Personnel and management

Agreement to apply timely discounts

Technical support

Previous experience with vendor

Single point of contact

Quote must include all Special Carrier Construction Charges

Budgetary quotes will not be accepted

ANDREWS CENTER (HCP #34447) EXHIBITS K - L

Exhibit K



Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Re: USAC 465 - 34447 The Andrews Center - East Lennon TX 12/18/2013 01/15/2014

1 message

Gary Speck <gary@abstelecom.net>

Fri, Dec 27, 2013 at 1:44 PM

To: Brooke Wickham < Brooke Wickham @networkservices.org>

Brooke..

attached to this email is site list and requirements, please read the entire document

On Fri, Dec 27, 2013 at 12:30 PM, Brooke Wickham <BrookeWickham@networkservices.org> wrote:

Hello Gary,

We have reviewed your 465 posting and are interested in submitting a bid.

Can you please clarify a few connectivity specifics in regards to this 465 posting?

If you are implementing a Wide Area Network, could you please provide the telephone number and address for each end point, as well as the type of connectivity you require between the locations?

Thank you, Brooke

Brooke Wickham Account Executive



800-726-2575 - Scott - Major Account Executive in Bid Department Spin # 143029752

Network Services is an ETP (Eligible Telecommunications Provider) in good standing with USAC. We are a direct contributor to the Universal Service Fund. Our goals are met by providing high quality telecommunications and internet services, through each of the major carriers and tier one internet providers, at deeply discounted rates.

The integrity of our company lies within the dedication of quality services and satisfaction that we continually strive to provide our clients.

Gary Speck Business Development

972-407-0063

G) 972 383-9227

F) 214-291-5901

Gary@abstelecom.net www.abstelecom.net

andrew center CURRENT SITES.pdf 223K

Tyler-Main Center

2323 West Front St. (Hwy. 31) Tyler, TX 75702 903/216

Athens Clinic

6901 Highway 19 South Athens, TX 75751 903/275

Mineola Clinic

703 West Patten Mineola, TX 75773 430/980

Canton Clinic

575 W. Highway 243 Canton, TX 75103 903/502

HUB LOCATION

Emory Clinic

1174 East Lennon Emory, TX 75440 903/308

Service requested

dedicated, fiber-optic, point-to-point gigabit Ethernet service

Selection Criteria

- ü Cost
- ü Reliability
- ü Quality of transmission
- ü Responsiveness
- ü Features
- ü Ability to bid on entire network
- ü Personnel and management
- ü Agreement to apply timely discounts
- ü Technical support
- ü Previous experience with vendor
- ü Single point of contact